

## Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases: High-GWP Gases

**Source/Sectors:** Substitution of ODS/Cold Storage Warehouse

**Technology:** Ammonia secondary loop systems (C.1.1.6.3)

### Description of the Technology:

Better equipment design and store layout can lead to a reduction in the amount of refrigerant needed for a given amount of product cooling, hence reduce emissions of HFCs. Secondary loop systems circulate a secondary coolant or brine from the central refrigeration system to the display cases. Thus, it operates at reduced charges and allows less leak rates (US Climate Change, 2005; USEPA, 2001). Ammonia is a toxic substance but can replace HFCs in these systems, because the system design does not let this substance contact customers.

The system has great benefits in that it requires less maintenance, has more efficient defrost, and longer shelf life than direct expansion, the conventional systems (IEA, 2003; USEPA, 2001)

**Effectiveness:** Good

**Implementability:** Ammonia is a toxic substance that the use in a confined space is a major concern; however, due to its chemical characteristics, the leaks are easily detectable with application of safety equipments. Besides, since it is lighter than air, it is easy to disperse in the event of release (IEA 2003; USEPA 2006b).

**Reliability:** To ensure its safety, current systems contain regulatory systems to control pressures. Also, emergency diffusion systems and a series of safety relief valves are installed (IEA, 2003).

**Maturity:** Widely adopted and growing in some regions (Europe)

**Environmental Benefits:** HFCs emission reduction

### Cost Effectiveness:

Technology	Lifetime (yrs)	MP (%)	RE (%)	TA (%)	Capital cost	Annual cost	Benefits
Ammonia secondary loop systems <sup>1</sup>	20	10	100	11-31	\$115.98	\$12.89	\$1.58

Note: MP: market penetration; RE: reduction efficiency; TA: technical applicability; costs are in year 2000 US\$/MT<sub>CO<sub>2</sub>-Eq.</sub>

1: IEA (2003) & USEPA (2001)

**Industry Acceptance Level:** These systems have been in use for decades in Europe, however, it is heavily regulated in North American region (IEA, 2003; ACHR News, 2000).

**Limitations:** The toxicity and flammability would require major design modifications for the majority of traditional HFC systems (USEPA, 2006b). A revision of codes is necessary in US to allow the expanded use of ammonia in new equipment types (IEA, 2003).

### Sources of Information:

1. California Energy Commission (2005) "Emission Reduction Opportunities for Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases in California", a report prepared by ICF Consulting for California Energy Commissions, CEC-500-2005-121, July 2005.

2. International Energy Agency (2001) "Abatement of Emissions of Other Greenhouse Gases - Engineered Chemicals", Report Number PH3/35, IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme, Cheltenham, United Kingdom, February 2001.
3. International Energy Agency (2003) "Building the Cost Curves for the Industrial Sources of Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases", Report Number PH4/25, IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme, Cheltenham, United Kingdom, October 2003.
4. Kruse, H. (1996) "The State of the Art of Hydrocarbon Technology in Household Refrigeration", *Proc. International Conference on Ozone Protection Technologies*, October 21-23, Washington, D.C.
5. Mathur, G.D. (1996) "Performance of Vapor Compression Refrigeration System with Hydrocarbons: Propane, Isobutane, and 50/50 Mixture of Propane/isobutane", *Proc. International Conference on Ozone Protection Technologies*, October 21-23, Washington, D.C.
6. Paul, J. (1996) "A Fresh Look at Hydrocarbon Refrigeration: Experience and Outlook", *Proc. International Conference on Ozone Protection Technologies*, October 21-23, Washington, D.C.
7. Sand, J.R.; Fischer, S.K.; Baxter, V.D. (1997) "Energy and Global Warming Impacts of HFC Refrigerants and Emerging Technologies". Prepared by Oak Ridge National Laboratory for Alternative Fluorocarbons Environmental Acceptability Study and U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, TN.
8. U.S. Climate Change Technology Program (2005) "Technology Options for the Near and Long Term", U.S. Department of Energy, <http://www.climatechange.gov/index.htm>, August 2005.
9. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2001) "U.S. High GWP Gas Emissions 1990 – 2010: Inventories, Projections, and Opportunities", Office of Air and Radiation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA 000-F-97-000, June 2001.
10. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2004) "Analysis of Cost to Abate Ozone-depleting Substitute Emissions", Office of Air and Radiation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA 430-R-04-006, June 2004.
11. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006a) "Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 to 2004" Office of Atmospheric Programs, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-430-R-06-002, June 2006
12. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006b) "Global Mitigation of Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases", Office of Atmospheric Programs, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-430-R-06-005, June 2006.
13. UNEP - United Nations Environment Programme (1998) "Report of the Refrigeration, Air Conditioning and Heat Pumps", Technical Options Committee; Nairobi, December 1998.
14. van Gerwen, R.; Vervoerd, M. (2000) "Emission reduction of non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases Used as Refrigerants" in Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases: Scientific Understanding, Control and Implementation (edited by J. Van Ham *et al.*), Kluwer Academic Publishers, London.
15. Walker, D. (2000) "Low-charge Refrigeration for Supermarkets", IEA Heat Pump Center Newsletter, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 13-16.